	Application No.	**Applicant(s)**
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/773,613	SCALES ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	JASON LIAO	2156	

All Participants:

(1) _JASON LIAO_.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _After final_

(3) _Darryl Smith (37,723)_.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _11 January 2009_

Time: _5:00_

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
   If Yes, provide a brief description: ___ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
_n/a_

Claims discussed:
_1, 30_

Prior art documents discussed:
Guthidge

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason Liao/
Examiner, Art Unit 2156

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to support for the negative limitation, which was provided in Written Description [0113]. Namely, discussion of a computing entity in terms of data access was discussed. Thus, it was agreed that the specification appears to envisiage a computing entity as a requester of information. Thus, scenarios with (for example) a POP3 server attempting to access data without accessing a router (routers include a CPU and memory containing at least a routing table) can be avoided and thus gross enablement issues are avoided. Additionally, a data storage server would not be considered a "computing entity" under the same reasoning.